

CP^2 SOLITON SCATTERING: THE COLLECTIVE COORDINATE APPROXIMATION

J. Burzlaff ^{† ‡} and W.J. Zakrzewski ^{*}

[†] School of Mathematical Sciences, Dublin City University, Dublin 9, Ireland.

[‡] School of Theoretical Physics, Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies, 10 Burlington Road, Dublin 4, Ireland.

^{*} Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Durham, Durham DH1 3LE, UK.

Abstract The CP^2 model, with and without a generalized Hopf term, is studied using the collective coordinate approximation. In the spirit of this approximation, an ansatz is given which in previous numerical studies was seen to give a good parameterization of the numerical solution. The equations of motion for the collective coordinates are then solved analytically, for solitons close together and for solitons far apart. The solutions show how the generalized Hopf term changes the scattering angle which in its absence is 90° .

DIAS-STP-97-24

1 Introduction

σ -models in low dimensions have become an increasingly important area of research. In two Euclidean dimensions they appear to be the low dimensional analogues of four-dimensional Yang-Mills theories. They often arise as approximate models, in the context of both particle and solid state physics. They have recently been used in the construction of models of high T_c superconductivity [1] and of the quantum Hall effect [2]. Moreover, they are simple examples of harmonic maps studied by differential geometers and, as such, are interesting in themselves. In addition, because of the nonlinearity of these models, their classical solutions represent structures which resemble solitons of (1+1) dimensional models and could become very useful in the description of many physical phenomena.

Recently, interesting scattering processes of these soliton-like objects were studied with the help of the collective coordinates approximation [3][4][5] and with the help of numerical simulations. The numerical simulations were performed for the CP^1 model [6][7], for its modification by the addition of potential-like and Skyrme-like terms [8][9], and most recently for the CP^2 model with and without a generalized Hopf term [10][11]. In Ref. 11, also the Ginibre et al. existence proof [12] and the Cauchy-Kowalewskyi theorem were used to study CP^2 soliton scattering. Although there is no mathematically rigorous justification for the collective coordinate approximation in the CP^n model yet, Manton's arguments, first put forward for the monopole motion [13], should also apply to the CP^2 soliton motion. In this paper we therefore use this approximation to study some aspects of the CP^2 soliton scattering. We again find the 90° scattering without, and a deviation from the 90° scattering, with the generalized Hopf term.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we describe the CP^2 model without and with generalized Hopf term. We also formulate a Cauchy problem suitable for the description of solitons which merge at some stage of the scattering process. In section 3, the description of the scattering process in terms of collective coordinates is given. In section 4, we solve the equations for the collective coordinates for solitons close enough together, i.e. for short enough times before and after the collision. Solitons far apart are studied in section 5.

2 The model

The Lagrangian for the CP^2 model is,

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = (D^\mu Z^a)^*(D_\mu Z_a), \quad \mu = 0, 1, 2; \quad a = 1, 2, 3; \quad (2.1)$$

where Z_a is a complex function of (t, x^1, x^2) on M^3 (or a function of the variables $(t, z = \frac{1}{2}(x^1 + ix^2), z^*)$), and $D_\mu Z_a = \partial_\mu Z_a - Z_b^*(\partial_\mu Z^b)Z_a$. Also, the Z_a have to satisfy the condition $Z_a^* Z^a = 1$. $a, b, ..$ are raised and lowered with the metric $\eta = \text{diag}(+1, +1, +1)$. The space-time metric is $g = \text{diag}(+1, -1, -1)$. The equations of motion corresponding to (2.1) are,

$$D_\mu D^\mu Z_a + (D_\mu Z_b)^*(D^\mu Z^b)Z_a = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

together with $Z_a^* Z^a = 1$. In this paper, we will concentrate on solutions with winding number k , defined by

$$k = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \epsilon_{jk} (D^j Z^a)^*(D^k Z_a) d^2x, \quad (2.3)$$

equal to 2.

The initial data are calculated from the complex functions,

$$\begin{aligned} w_1(0, z, z^*) &= \lambda z^2, & w_2(0, z, z^*) &= \beta z, \\ \partial_0 w_1(0, z, z^*) &= \lambda v, & \partial_0 w_2(0, z, z^*) &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where λ, β and v are real positive constants, and where $w_i(t, z, z^*)$ and Z_a are related through

$$Z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |w_1|^2 + |w_2|^2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ w_1 \\ w_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.5)$$

For these initial data the energy is finite and the winding number is 2. The initial data describe a head-on collision of solitons which 'coincide' at $t = 0$. Negative time is the time before, and positive time is the time after the collision. The condition, $|w_1|^2 + |w_2|^2 = 0$ at $z = 0$, which holds for the initial data, reflects the fact that the solitons merge at $t = 0$.

For $\partial_0 w_i$ at $t = 0$, we have taken zero modes which give a head-on collision. This means that we don't need any extra potential energy to go through the

'ring' which forms at $t = 0$. For small v , there is hardly any excess energy, and the slow motion approximation, or collective coordinate approximation, can be used. The idea of this approximation [13] is to describe the solution at each fixed time in terms of a configuration with minimal potential energy. Then the action is minimized to obtain the collective coordinates, which parameterize these minimal-energy configurations, as functions of time.

For the CP^2 model the configurations with minimal potential energy, which for constant parameters are time independent solutions, are of the form (2.5) where w_1 and w_2 are rational functions of z [14]. These configurations can also be given in the form,

$$Z_a = \frac{f_a}{|f|} \quad \text{with} \quad |f| = \sqrt{f_a^* f_a}, \quad (2.6)$$

where the f_a 's are polynomials in z . To relate the two descriptions use is made of the $U(1)$ symmetry of the theory. In terms of f_a , the equations of motion (2.2) read

$$\partial_\mu \partial^\mu f^a - \frac{f^a f_b^* \partial_\mu \partial^\mu f^b}{|f|^2} = \frac{2(\partial_\mu f^a) f_b^* \partial^\mu f^b}{|f|^2} - \frac{2f^a f_b^* (\partial_\mu f^b) f_c^* \partial^\mu f^c}{|f|^4}. \quad (2.7)$$

The winding number can be expressed as

$$k = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_a^* z \partial_z f^a}{|f|^2} d\theta, \quad (2.8)$$

where $z = r e^{i\theta}$. This formula shows that for $k = 2$, we can restrict our attention to quadratic polynomials f_a .

In sections 4 and 5, we will also study the effect the generalized Hopf term,

$$\mathcal{L}_{Hopf} = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} (D_\mu Z^a)^* (D_\nu Z_a) (Z^{b*} \partial_\rho Z_b), \quad (2.9)$$

has on the scattering process. For the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_0 + \kappa \mathcal{L}_{Hopf}$, the equations of motion read,

$$(\delta_b^a - Z_a Z^{b*}) D_\mu D^\mu Z_b - 2\kappa \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} D_\rho Z_a ((D_\mu Z^b)^* D_\nu Z_b) = 0, \quad (2.10)$$

where, as before, $Z_a^* Z^a = 1$.

3 The collective coordinate ansatz

For the collective coordinate approximation, we take the static solutions, $Z_a(z, c_r)$, parameterized by parameters c_r , make the parameters time dependent and minimize within this ansatz. If we work with configurations of the form (2.6), this means that

$$f_a(t, z) = \sum_{l=0}^n c_a^l(t) z^l, \quad (3.1)$$

where $n = 2$, for a 2-soliton configuration.

Within the ansatz, the potential energy is a constant and the CP^2 kinetic energy is

$$\begin{aligned} T_0 &= (D^0 Z^a)^* (D_0 Z_a) \\ &= \frac{1}{|f|^2} ((\partial_0 f_a^*) (\partial^0 f^a) - \frac{1}{|f|^2} f_a^* (\partial_0 f^a) f^b (\partial^0 f_b^*)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

This yields,

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} T_0 d^2 x = A_{ab}^{mn} \dot{c}_a^{m*} \dot{c}_b^n = L \quad (3.3)$$

with,

$$A_{ab}^{mn} = \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \frac{1}{|f|^2} (\delta_{ab} z^{*m} z^n - \frac{1}{|f|^2} c_a^r c_b^{s*} z^{n+r} z^{*m+s}) d^2 x. \quad (3.4)$$

Before we minimize the action, $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} L dt$, in the next two sections, we will simplify the ansatz (3.1). Our next arguments work for all initial data, including ours in (2.4), which satisfy the following conditions: $f^a = f^a(z)$, $a = 1, 2, 3$, with $\partial_z f^a \neq 0$ for $a = 2, 3$; $\partial_0 f^k = 0$, $k = 1, 3$; $\partial_0 f^2 = \partial_0 f^2(z) \neq 0$. For $\kappa = 0$, we find $f^1 \partial_0^2 f^3 - f^3 \partial_0^2 f^1 = 0$ at $t = 0$. To derive this result, eq. (2.7), the conditions on the initial data and the formulas $-\partial_i \partial^i = \partial_z \partial_{z^*}$ and $-2(\partial_i f^a) \partial^i f^b = (\partial_z f^a) \partial_{z^*} f^b + (\partial_{z^*} f^a) \partial_z f^b$ for $a, b = 1, 2, 3$ are used. Now f^1 can be made real by a gauge transformation, and multiplying all f^a 's by $1/f^1$ we can achieve $f^1 = 1$ for all t . So at $t = 0$ we have $\partial_0^2 f^3 = 0$. We show next that $\partial_0^3 f^3 = 0$ for $t = 0$. For this we need again that the initial data depend on z only.

To go further we need next that $\partial_0^2 f^2$ at $t = 0$ depends on z only. This is not the case for the exact solution. In fact we obtain

$$\partial_0^2 f^2 = \frac{2}{|f|^2} f_2^* (\partial_0 f^2)^2 \quad (3.5)$$

at $t = 0$. However, within the confines of the collective coordinate approximation, we are neglecting contributions due to a z^* dependence of f_a , and in that case we can actually show that all time derivatives of f^3 vanish at $t = 0$. The reasonable assumption of analyticity now leads to the time independence of f^3 for $t > 0$.

So far we have $f^1 = 1$, $f^3 = \beta z$ and

$$f^2 = \frac{a_1(t)z^2 + a_2(t)z + a_3(t)}{a_4(t)z + a_5(t)} \quad (3.6)$$

If a_4 depends on time the kinetic energy, $\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} T_0 d^2x$, diverges. (The interpretation of this divergence is that a change in a_4 costs infinite energy.) So a_4 must be constant, and therefore zero because of the initial data. The kinetic energy also diverges for time dependent a_1 . Hence we have $a_1 = \lambda$ and $f^2 = \lambda z^2 + \delta(t)z + \gamma(t)$. Next we want to show that δ can be taken to be zero.

The Euler-Lagrange equations for L defined in eq. (3.3), the geodesic equations, are,

$$A_{ab}^{mn} \ddot{c}_b^n + \frac{\partial A_{ab}^{mn}}{\partial c_c^r} \dot{c}_c^r \dot{c}_b^n + \frac{\partial A_{ab}^{mn}}{\partial c_c^{r*}} \dot{c}_c^{r*} \dot{c}_b^n - \frac{\partial A_{cb}^{rn}}{\partial c_a^{m*}} \dot{c}_c^{r*} \dot{c}_b^n = 0, \quad (3.7)$$

with $c_a^n(t)$ defined in (3.1). If $c_2^0(t) = \gamma(t)$ and the other c_a^n are constant, then the equations reduce to,

$$A_{a2}^{m0} \ddot{\gamma} + \frac{\partial A_{a2}^{m0}}{\partial \gamma} \dot{\gamma}^2 = 0. \quad (3.8)$$

Here we have used that,

$$\frac{\partial A_{a2}^{m0}}{\partial c_2^{0*}} = \frac{\partial A_{22}^{00}}{\partial c_a^{m*}}. \quad (3.9)$$

That (3.9) holds can be seen by taking the corresponding derivatives of the integrands which define the A 's in eq. (3.4).

If on the other hand we first set $c_2^0 = \gamma(t)$ and the other c_a^n constant in eq. (3.3), and then minimize, we obtain,

$$A_{22}^{00} \ddot{\gamma} + \frac{\partial A_{22}^{00}}{\partial \gamma} \dot{\gamma}^2 = 0. \quad (3.10)$$

The equations (8) are now satisfied if,

$$A_{a2}^{m0} \frac{\partial A_{22}^{00}}{\partial \gamma} = A_{22}^{00} \frac{\partial A_{a2}^{m0}}{\partial \gamma}. \quad (3.11)$$

In our case, so far the ansatz has been reduced to $f^1 = 1$, $f^2 = \lambda z^2 + \delta(t)z + \gamma(t)$, $f^3 = \beta z$. For this ansatz, we have to solve the geodesic equations (3.7). A special solution is provided by $\delta = 0$, which satisfies the initial condition, and a function γ which satisfies (3.10). Now (3.11) holds trivially, since both the integrand of A_{22}^{10} and the integrand of $\partial A_{22}^{10}/\partial \gamma$ have the symmetry $I(\theta + \pi) = -I(\theta)$, as functions of the angle θ . Hence the corresponding integrals vanish. Therefore, finally our collective coordinate ansatz is

$$f^1 = 1, \quad f^2 = \lambda z^2 + \gamma(t), \quad f^3 = \beta z. \quad (3.12)$$

If the Hopf term is included, the Lagrange function, defined in (3.3), will acquire the extra term $\kappa \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \mathcal{L}_{Hopf} d^2x$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{Hopf} &= \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} (\partial_\mu Z_a^*) (\partial_\nu Z^a) Z_b^* \partial_\rho Z^b \\ &= \frac{\epsilon^{ij}}{2|f|^4} ((\partial_i f_a^*) (\partial_j f^a) (f_b^* \partial_0 f^b - f^b \partial_0 f_b^*) \\ &\quad + ((\partial_0 f_a^*) (\partial_i f^a) - (\partial_i f_a^*) (\partial_0 f^a)) (f_b^* \partial_j f^b - f^b \partial_j f_b^*)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

We will study the effect of the Hopf term for κ small enough so that the ansatz (3.12) still gives a reasonable approximation. If κ is not small, we do not expect a time independent f_3 to be a good approximation because

$$\partial_0^2 f_3 = \frac{-\iota \kappa \beta v |\lambda|^2 z^* (2 + |\beta|^2 |z|^2)}{(1 + |\beta|^2 |z|^2 + |\lambda|^2 |z|^4)^2} \quad (3.14)$$

holds for our initial data at $t = 0$.

4 Approximate solution for solitons close together

The ansatz (3.12) leads to

$$T_0 = \frac{1}{|f|^2} \left(1 - \frac{|\lambda z^2 + \gamma|^2}{|f|^2} \right) \dot{\gamma} \dot{\gamma}^*. \quad (4.1)$$

Note that T_0 is a rational function of r , where $z = re^{i\theta}$, and that the denominator is a product of quadratic functions in r^2 . So the r integration can be done, leading to functions of θ which have to be integrated numerically. We also obtain,

$$\mathcal{L}_{Hopf} = \frac{i|\beta|^2}{4|f|^4}((\gamma^* - \lambda^* z^{*2})\dot{\gamma} - (\gamma - \lambda z^2)\dot{\gamma}^*) \quad (4.2)$$

Again this is a rational function of r which can be integrated over r .

In this section, we concentrate on solitons close together, i.e., we choose $|\gamma|$ to be small. For small $|\gamma|$, we expand,

$$\frac{1}{|f|^2} = \frac{1}{1 + |\beta|^2 r^2 + |\lambda|^2 r^4} - \frac{\lambda\gamma^* z^2 + \lambda^* \gamma z^{*2}}{(1 + |\beta|^2 r^2 + |\lambda|^2 r^4)^2} + O(|\gamma|^2). \quad (4.3)$$

Now we obtain,

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} T_0 d^2x = A\dot{\gamma}\dot{\gamma}^* + O(|\gamma|^3), \quad (4.4)$$

where

$$A = 2\pi \int_0^\infty dr \frac{r(1 + |\beta|^2 r^2)}{(1 + |\beta|^2 r^2 + |\lambda|^2 r^4)^2}. \quad (4.5)$$

The integral can be evaluated and given in terms of

$$g(\lambda, \beta) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{\sqrt{|\beta|^4 - 4|\lambda|^2}} \log\left(\frac{|\beta|^2}{2|\lambda|} + \frac{\sqrt{|\beta|^4 - 4|\lambda|^2}}{2|\lambda|}\right) & \text{for } 2|\lambda| < |\beta|^2 \\ \frac{1}{|\lambda|} & \text{for } 2|\lambda| = |\beta|^2 \\ \frac{2}{\sqrt{4|\lambda|^2 - |\beta|^4}} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan \frac{|\beta|^2}{\sqrt{4|\lambda|^2 - |\beta|^4}}\right) & \text{for } 2|\lambda| > |\beta|^2 \end{cases} \quad (4.6)$$

This yields,

$$A = \frac{\pi}{4|\lambda|^2 - |\beta|^4} [|\beta|^2 - (|\beta|^4 - 2|\lambda|^2)g(\lambda, \beta)] \quad (4.7)$$

for $|\beta|^2 \neq 2|\lambda|$. For $|\beta|^2 = 2|\lambda|$, the formula reduces to $A = 2\pi/(3|\lambda|)$.

We also have,

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \mathcal{L}_{Hopf} d^2x = iB(\gamma^* \dot{\gamma} - \gamma \dot{\gamma}^*) + O(|\gamma|^3), \quad (4.8)$$

where

$$B = \frac{\pi|\beta|^2}{2} \int_0^\infty dr \left(\frac{r}{(1 + |\beta|^2 r^2 + |\lambda|^2 r^4)^2} + \frac{|\lambda|^2 r^5}{(1 + |\beta|^2 r^2 + |\lambda|^2 r^4)^3} \right). \quad (4.9)$$

Note that $\beta = 0$ (CP^1 embedding) implies $B = 0$. Again the integral can be evaluated, and we obtain

$$B = \frac{\pi|\beta|^2}{4(4|\lambda|^2 - |\beta|^4)^2} [|\beta|^2(|\beta|^4 - 7|\lambda|^2) + |\lambda|^2(10|\lambda|^2 - |\beta|^4)g(\lambda, \beta)] \quad (4.10)$$

for $|\beta|^2 \neq 2|\lambda|$. If $|\beta|^2 = 2|\lambda|$, the result reduces to $B = 11\pi/60$.

For small $|\gamma|$, we end up with the Lagrange function,

$$L = A\dot{\gamma}\dot{\gamma}^* + \imath\kappa B(\gamma^*\dot{\gamma} - \gamma\dot{\gamma}^*). \quad (4.11)$$

Expressing $\gamma(t) = R(t)e^{i\sigma(t)}$ in terms of real functions, we obtain,

$$L = A(\dot{R}^2 + R^2\dot{\sigma}^2) - 2\kappa BR^2\dot{\sigma}, \quad (4.12)$$

and the equations of motion,

$$A\ddot{R} - AR\dot{\sigma}^2 + 2\kappa BR\dot{\sigma} = 0, \quad (4.13)$$

$$AR^2\ddot{\sigma} + 2AR\dot{R}\dot{\sigma} - 2\kappa BR\dot{R} = 0. \quad (4.14)$$

The second equation implies,

$$\dot{\sigma} = \frac{c_1 + \kappa BR^2}{AR^2}. \quad (4.15)$$

If $c_1 \neq 0$, $\dot{\sigma} \rightarrow \infty$ as $R \rightarrow 0$. So we set $c_1 = 0$, and obtain $\dot{\sigma} = \kappa B/A$ and w.l.g. $\sigma = \kappa Bt/A$. Now the equation for R reads,

$$A^2\ddot{R} + \kappa^2 B^2 R = 0, \quad (4.16)$$

and, using $R(0) = 0$, we obtain,

$$R = c_2 \sin \frac{\kappa Bt}{A} = c_2 \frac{\kappa B}{A} t, \quad (4.17)$$

for small R (and t).

We now consider $|f|$. At $t = 0$, $|f|$ is radially symmetric and describes a 'crater-like' surface. When t becomes nonzero, the surface 'buckles'. Consider, with λ real for simplicity,

$$|f|^2 = 1 + |\beta|^2 r^2 + |\lambda|^2 r^4 + 2\lambda r^2 R(t) \cos(2\theta - \sigma(t)). \quad (4.18)$$

The θ derivatives gives us the 'ridges' and 'valleys', which are at $\theta = n\pi/2 + \sigma/2$. If for definiteness $\lambda > 0$ and $c_2 > 0$, the 'valleys' are at $\frac{\kappa Bt}{2A}$ and $\pi + \frac{\kappa Bt}{2A}$ for $t < 0$, and at $\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\kappa Bt}{2A}$ and $\frac{3\pi}{2} + \frac{\kappa Bt}{2A}$ for $t > 0$ shortly before and shortly after the collision. For $\kappa = 0$, we again find 90° scattering, whereas for $\kappa \neq 0$, we find a deviation from this type of scattering.

5 Solitons at a distance

To study solitons which are not close together, T_0 , from Eq.(4.1), and $\mathcal{L}_{Hopf}$, from Eq.(4.2), must be integrated over $\mathbf{R}^2$. For T_0 , the result of the r integration can be written as

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} T_0 d^2x = \int_0^\infty d\theta a(\lambda, \beta, \gamma, \theta) \dot{\gamma} \dot{\gamma}^*. \quad (5.1)$$

$a(\lambda, \beta, \gamma, \theta)$ can be expressed in terms of

$$b(\lambda, \beta, \gamma, \theta) = |\beta|^2 + \lambda \gamma^* e^{2i\theta} + \lambda^* \gamma e^{-2i\theta}, \quad (5.2)$$

$$\Delta(\lambda, \beta, \gamma, \theta) = 4|\lambda|^2(1 + |\gamma|^2) - b^2, \quad (5.3)$$

and

$$h(\lambda, \beta, \gamma, \theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\Delta}} \log \frac{b + \sqrt{-\Delta}}{b - \sqrt{-\Delta}} & \text{for } \Delta < 0 \\ \frac{2}{b} & \text{for } \Delta = 0 \\ \frac{2}{\sqrt{\Delta}} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan \frac{b}{\sqrt{\Delta}} \right) & \text{for } \Delta > 0 \end{cases} \quad (5.4)$$

as

$$a(\lambda, \beta, \gamma, \theta) = \frac{|\beta|^2}{4|\lambda|^2(1 + |\gamma|^2)} + \frac{2|\lambda|^2 - |\beta|^2 b}{4|\lambda|^2 \Delta} \left[2|\lambda|^2 h(\lambda, \beta, \gamma, \theta) - \frac{b}{1 + |\gamma|^2} \right]. \quad (5.5)$$

The θ integration and the integration of the Euler-Lagrange equations have to be done numerically.

For large $|\gamma|$ though, we can obtain analytical results. We start by integrating the factor of $\dot{\gamma} \dot{\gamma}^*$ on the right-hand side of (4.1) over regions close to $\pm a$. Set $\gamma = -\lambda a^2$ and $z = \pm a + b$ with $0 \leq |b| \leq |a|^{3/4}$. (Here and in our arguments below, there is a wide choice of limits of integration. Here for $|b|$,

any power of $|a|$ between $\frac{1}{2}$ and 1 would do). Neglecting b terms relative to a terms, we get the integrals,

$$I_{\pm} = 4\pi \int_0^{|a|^{3/2}} \frac{1 + |\beta|^2 |a|^2}{(1 + |\beta|^2 |a|^2 + 4|\lambda|^2 |a|^2 |b|^2)^2} d|b|^2. \quad (5.6)$$

Hence,

$$I_{\pm} = \frac{\pi}{|\lambda|^2 |a|^2} - \frac{\pi(1 + |\beta|^2 |a|^2)}{|\lambda|^2 |a|^2 (1 + |\beta|^2 |a|^2 + 4|\lambda|^2 |a|^2)^{7/2}}, \quad (5.7)$$

and for large $|a|$,

$$I = I_+ + I_- = \frac{2\pi}{|\lambda|^2 |a|^2}. \quad (5.8)$$

We now show that the rest of the integral is of lower order than (5.8) and can be neglected. This is an integral over $\mathbf{R}^2$ with two circles centered at $\pm a$ taken out. We split the integral into three integrals, I_1 , I_2 and I_3 . I_1 is the integral for $|z|$ between 0 and $|a|^{3/4}$. I_2 results from the integration for $|z|$ from $|a|^{3/4}$ to $|a|^2$, and I_3 is the integral over the rest of $\mathbf{R}^2$ outside the big circle of radius $|a|^2$. Starting with the integral I_1 , we use the inequalities $|z \pm a| \geq |a|/2$, and take the lower bounds of these inequalities in the integrand. This yields,

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq 4\pi \int_0^{|a|^{3/2}} \frac{1 + |\beta|^2 |z|^2}{(1 + |\beta|^2 |z|^2 + |\lambda|^2 |a|^4/16)^2} d|z|^2 \\ &\leq 4\pi \int_0^{|a|^{3/2}} \frac{1 + |\beta|^2 |z|^2}{(1 + |\lambda|^2 |a|^4/16)^2} d|z|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

So the bound on I_1 is of order $1/|a|^5$, and I_1 can be neglected.

The second integration area, which is an annulus with two small disks deleted, is split into two symmetric halves with a small disk deleted in each. In one half, $|z - a| \geq |a|^{3/4}$ and $|z + a| \geq |z|$; in the other, $|z + a| \geq |a|^{3/4}$ and $|z - a| \geq |z|$. By taking the lower bounds of these inequalities in the integrand, we get an upper bound for I_2 . We now increase the value of this upper bound by including the two small disks. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq 4\pi \int_{|a|^{3/2}}^{|a|^4} \frac{1 + |\beta|^2 |z|^2}{(1 + |\beta|^2 |z|^2 + |\lambda|^2 |a|^{3/2} |z|^2)^2} d|z|^2 = \frac{4\pi}{(|\beta|^2 + |\lambda|^2 |a|^{3/2})^2} \\ &\times \left[|\beta|^2 \log(1 + |\beta|^2 |z|^2 + |\lambda|^2 |a|^{3/2} |z|^2) - \frac{|\lambda|^2 |a|^{3/2}}{1 + |\beta|^2 |z|^2 + |\lambda|^2 |a|^{3/2} |z|^2} \right]_{|a|^{3/2}}^{|a|^4}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

For large $|a|$, this goes like $\frac{\log|a|}{|a|^3}$, and I_2 can be neglected. Finally, for the integral I_3 , we use the inequalities $|z \pm a| \geq |z|/2$. This leads to the following upper bound,

$$I_3 \leq 4\pi \int_{|a|^4}^{\infty} \frac{1 + |\beta|^2 |z|^2}{(1 + |\beta|^2 |z|^2 + |\lambda|^2 |z|^4/16)^2} d|z|^2 \leq \frac{2^{10}\pi|\beta|^2}{|\lambda|^4|a|^8}. \quad (5.11)$$

To include the generalized Hopf term, we again perform the r integration and obtain

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} d^2x \mathcal{L}_{Hopf} = \frac{i|\beta|^2}{4} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta c(\lambda, \beta, \gamma, \dot{\gamma}, \theta), \quad (5.12)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c(\lambda, \beta, \gamma, \dot{\gamma}, \theta) = & \left[\gamma^* \dot{\gamma} - \gamma \dot{\gamma}^* + \frac{b}{2|\lambda|^2} (\lambda^* \dot{\gamma} e^{-2i\theta} - \lambda \dot{\gamma}^* e^{2i\theta}) \right] \\ & \times \left[\frac{2|\lambda|^2}{\Delta} h(\lambda, \beta, \gamma, \theta) - \frac{b}{\Delta(1 + |\gamma|^2)} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

Again, the θ integration and the integration of the Euler-Lagrange equations have to be done numerically.

For large $|a|$, however, we obtain some analytical results. We must integrate $\mathcal{L}_{Hopf}$, given in (4.2), over $\mathbf{R}^2$. For large $|a|$, the leading term will again come from the integration over regions close to $\pm a$. As above we set $z = \pm a + b$ and integrate for $0 < |b|^2 < |a|^{3/2}$. Neglecting b terms relative to a terms, these integrals can be written as,

$$J_{\pm} = \int_0^{|a|^{3/2}} \frac{4\pi i |\beta|^2 |\lambda|^2 |a|^2 (a^* \dot{a} - a \dot{a}^*)}{(1 + |\beta|^2 |a|^2 + 4|\lambda|^2 |a|^2 |b|^2)^2} d|b|^2. \quad (5.14)$$

To leading order in $|a|$, we obtain,

$$J = J_+ + J_- = \frac{2\pi i}{|a|^2} (a^* \dot{a} - a \dot{a}^*). \quad (5.15)$$

We now show that the integral over $\mathbf{R}^2$, with the two disks centered at $\pm a$ taken out, can be neglected compared to J . Again using the circles of radius $|z| = |a|^{3/4}$ and $|z| = |a|^2$, respectively, the integral is divided into three integrals, J_1 , J_2 and J_3 . With the help of the inequalities $|z \pm a| \geq |a|/2$ which we used above, we now get the bound,

$$|J_1| \leq \frac{2^{11}\pi|\beta|^2|\dot{a}|}{|\lambda|^2|a|^{7/2}}, \quad (5.16)$$

on the integral over the disk at the origin. J_1 is clearly of lower order than J . Integration over the annulus for $|a|^{3/2} < |z|^2 < |a|^4$, with the two disks deleted, leads to the bound,

$$|J_2| \leq \frac{4\pi|\beta|^2|\lambda|^2|a||\dot{a}|}{(|\beta|^2 + |\lambda|^2|a|^{3/2})^2} \times \left[\log(1 + |\beta|^2|z|^2 + |\lambda|^2|a|^{3/2}|z|^2) + \frac{(1 - |a|^2|\beta|^2 - |\lambda|^2|a|^{7/2})}{1 + |\beta|^2|z|^2 + |\lambda|^2|a|^{3/2}|z|^2} \right]_{|a|^{3/2}}^{|a|^4}. \quad (5.17)$$

This bound is of order $|\dot{a}|/|a|^{3/2}$, and J_2 can be neglected. J_3 can be neglected as well, since

$$|J_3| \leq \frac{2^{10}\pi|\beta|^2|\dot{a}|}{|\lambda|^2|a|^7}. \quad (5.18)$$

If we use $|\dot{\gamma}|^2 = 4|\lambda|^2|a|^2|\dot{a}|^2$ and eqs. (5.8) and (5.15), we find the following Lagrange function for large $|a|$,

$$L = 8\pi\dot{a}\dot{a}^* + 2\pi\iota\kappa \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a} - \frac{\dot{a}^*}{a^*} \right). \quad (5.19)$$

The Hopf term is the time derivative of $2\pi\iota\kappa \ln \frac{a}{a^*}$ and does not contribute to the equations of motion. To leading order in the distance between the solitons, we have $a(t) = a_0 + vt$. This is the free motion seen in previous numerical studies.

6 Conclusions

In this paper, which a sequel to [11], we continued our investigation of the scattering of solitons in the CP^2 model in (2+1) dimensions. In [11] we showed that the addition of an extra term, the “generalised Hopf term” changes dynamics of the solitons; the 90° scattering seen in head-on collisions of CP^2 solitons in the absence of this term is replaced by a difflection, which for small values of the coefficient of this term is proportional to this coefficient. These expectations, based on the expansion of the field at the time of overlap of the two solitons, were shown to be borne out by the

results of numerical simulations. The simulations have also shown that at larger distances the generalised Hopf term seems to play little role; all deflection of the solitons seems to be confined to the region when they are very close together.

In this paper we have looked at this problem from the point of view of collective coordinates. We have argued that in this problem we can approximate the field configuration by a simple polynomial expressions (with many terms vanishing) and then looked at the effects of the nonvanishing coefficient of the generalised Hopf term on the dynamics of these collective coordinates. We have shown that this approximation is self consistent and that it captures the main features of the data (*ie* the deflection from the 90° scattering being proportional to the coefficient of the Hopf term). Surprisingly, the approximation also captures the effect of the Hopf term becoming irrelevant (for classical dynamics) when the solitons are well separated; in this case its contribution to the effective lagrangian becomes a total derivative. Moreover, the form of this total derivative is very similar to what is seen in the CP^1 model (though there this form is valid for all distances between solitons, including the cases when they overlap). Thus we see that well separated solitons in CP^2 model are not that different from solitons of the CP^1 model; only when they are close together the nature of target manifold begins to play an important role, and the generalised Hopf term brings out this difference.

In addition our work has given an extra support for the use of collective coordinates; they provide a good approximation to the full dynamics also when the generalised Hopf term is present.

Acknowledgements This work was partially supported by the grant BCA 96/024 of Forbairt and the British Council.

References

- [1] Dzyaloshinskii I, Polyakov A and Wiegmann P 1988 Phys. Lett. A **127** 112
- [2] Green A G, Kogan I I and Tsvelik A M 1996 Phys. Rev. B **53** 6981
- [3] Ward R S 1985 Phys. Lett. B **158** 424
- [4] Leese R 1990 Nucl. Phys. B **344** 33
- [5] Sutcliffe P M 1991 Nonlinearity **4** 1109
- [6] Leese R A, Peyrard M and Zakrzewski W J 1990 Nonlinearity **3** 387
- [7] Zakrzewski W J 1991 Nonlinearity **4** 429
- [8] Leese R A, Peyrard M and Zakrzewski W J 1990 Nonlinearity **3** 773
- [9] Peyrard M, Piette B and Zakrzewski W J 1991 Nonlinearity **5** 563
- [10] Piette B, Rashid M S and Zakrzewski W J 1993 Nonlinearity **6** 1077
- [11] Burzlaff J and Zakrzewski W J 1996 Nonlinearity **9** 1317
- [12] Ginibre J and Velo G 1982 Ann. Phys. **142** 393
- [13] Manton N S 1982 Phys. Lett. B **110** 54
- [14] D’Adda A, Lüscher M and Di Vecchia P 1978 Nucl. Phys. B **146** 63